COPY FOR JUDGE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 21623

CASE NO.			
SANTANA'S MARKET, INC.			
d/b/a SANTANA'S MARKET	MAGISTA		
Plaintiff	BANDSTU		
VS.	NIGHT BOX		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	JUN 16 onne		
Defendant.	CLARENCE MADDOX CLERK, USDC/SDFL/MIA		

## **COMPLAINT**

- 1. This is an action by SANTANA'S MARKET, INC., Plaintiff, who is doing business as SANTANA'S MARKET, a retail grocery store, requesting judicial review of Defendant's administrative decision to wrongfully, permanently disqualify Plaintiff from participating in the Food Stamp Program.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of this action, pursuant to Title 7 U.S.C. §2023, 5 U.S.C. §703, and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 3. This Court has venue of this action in that the actions of the Defendant occurred within Dade County, Florida of the Southern District of Florida.
- 4. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff was a Florida Corporation, with a principle place of business in Miami, Dade County, Florida, and was doing business as a retail food store.
  - 5. The United States of America is the Defendant, pursuant to the provisions of the Food

Stamp Act, specifically, Title 7 U.S.C. §2023 (a).

- 6. By letter from Mr. John Butlerof the Defendant's Tallahassee Field Office dated January 8, 2003, Plaintiff was advised that the Defendant was wrongfully permanently disqualifying Plaintiff from participating in the Food Stamp Program (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "the Program"), effective ten days after Plaintiff's receipt of the letter.
- 7. Pursuant to United States Department of Agriculture, Food and Consumer Service (FCS) regulations, Plaintiff timely requested administrative review of the administrative decision wrongfully disqualifying the Plaintiff for allegedly trafficking in food stamp benefits, i.e., paying cash for food stamp benefits at discounted rates of exchange.
- 8. Thereafter, by letter dated on May 13, 2003, and received on May 19, 2003, Plaintiff was advised by Patrick A. Frank, Administrative Review Officer, Administrative Review Branch, United States Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, of his decision to uphold the imposition of the wrongful, permanent disqualification of Plaintiff from participating in the Food Stamp Program.
- 9. Defendant agency's actions, as above alleged, are unlawful, arbitrary and capricious, and should be set aside, on the following grounds:
- A. Defendant's action is in excess of statutory authority in that Defendant is charging Plaintiff with violations of regulations that unconstitutionally deprive the Plaintiff of its right to contract and to earn a livelihood, as well as its right to due process of law.
- B. Defendant's acts are arbitrary, capricious, in bad faith and a denial of Plaintiff's rights to due process and equal protection under the law.
  - C. The assessment of the permanent disqualification by the Defendant is a denial of

Plaintiff's right to due process of law, in that there is no rational basis in the law for such actions.

- D. The investigative procedures utilized by Defendant were conducted in an improper and procedurally deficient way. The Defendant agency utilized a flawed review of Plaintiff's sales to arbitrarily and based upon pure speculation, a conclusion that Plaintiff was trafficking in food stamp benefit permits, and the investigative techniques employed were below standard, unreliable and subject to error. There was no actual onsite investigation conducted and no actual exchange of cash for benefits ever occurred with the Defendant or its agents. Defendant is merely guessing that illegal activities occurred based upon review of sales figures from the Therefore, the investigation was legally inadequate to support the administrative action taken against the Plaintiff.
- E. By reason of the facts hereinabove alleged, the rights of Plaintiff to due process and equal protection under the law have been and are being violated by the Defendant.
- 10. Plaintiff is presently involved in a retail business dealing with Food Stamp benefits and its permanent disqualification from the program will adversely affect persons in food stamp households and cause hardship to them because of the unavailability of other participating food stores in the area to meet their needs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief, as follows:

- (1) An order that Defendant's assessment of a permanent disqualification of Plaintiff from participating in the Food Stamp Program, be set aside.
  - (2) For costs of suit herein.
  - (3) For reasonable attorney's fees.

(4) For such other relief as the Court may deem proper.

Respectfully submitted,

CEASE & BELL, P.L.L.Q

XXXXX

Florida Bar ID No.: 217751

2507 Williams Trace Blvd., Suite 103

Sugar Land, Texas 77479 Phone: (281) 980-0909 Fax:(281) 980-1069

Email: acease@houston.rr.com

ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFF

06/17/03

CONTRACT	TORT		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	■ 610 Agriculture	422 Appeal	400 State
120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury—	620 Other Food & Drug	28 USC 158	Reapportionment
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med Malpractice	625 Drug-Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury—	of Prop 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
150 Recovery of Overpay-	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce/ICC Rat
ment & Enforcement	Slander	368 Asbestos Personal	640 R R & Truck	820 Copyrights	460 Deportation
of Judgment	330 Federal Employers	Injury Product	650 Airline Regs	830 Patent	470 Racketeer Influence
151 Medicare Act	Liability	Liability	660 Occupational	840 Trademark	& Corrupt Organiza
152 Recovery of Defaulted	340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPERTY	Safety/Health	SOCIAL SECURITY	810 Selective Service
Student Loans	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	690 Other	861 HIA (13958)	850 Securities/Commo
(Excl Veterans)	Liability	371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange
163 Recovery of Overpay-	350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challeng
ment of Veteran Bene	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	Act	864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410
160 Stockholders Suits	Product Liability	385 Property Damage	720 Labor/Mgmt	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability	Relations	1	892 Econ Stabilization
195 Contract Product Liab	, ,	1	730 Labor/Mgmt	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Ma
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Reporting &	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	894 Energy Allocation .
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacate	Disclosure Act	or Defendant)	895 Freedom of
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence	740 Railway Labor	871 IRS—Third Party	Information Act
230 Rent Lease & Elect	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus	Act	26 USC 7609	900 Appeal of Fee Det
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	530 General	790 Other Labor	1	Equal Access/Just
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty	Litigation	1	950 Const of State Sta
290 All Other Real Prop	440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus and Other	791 Empl Ret Inc	1	890 Other Statutory
<b>-</b>	]	550 Other	Security Act	1	Actions
. ORIGIN	ı	(PLACE AN X IN C	ONE BOY ONLY	<u></u>	•

Recogned

Original Proceeding

3 Remanded from State Court

another district

6 Multidistrict

Appellate Court Litigation

from Magistrate Judgment VII. REQUESTED IN

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION

JURY DEMAND: YES X NO

**DEMAND \$** 

**DOCKET NO** 

Check YES only if demanded in complaint

UNDER FRCP 23

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions)

COMPLAINT

URP OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

DATE

June 16, 2003